

**To:** Yeh, Alice[Yeh.Alice@epa.gov]  
**From:** Juan\_Somoano@oxy.com  
**Sent:** Tue 8/9/2016 8:13:24 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Schedule Suggestion

Thanks Alice. I'll consider specifics on design staging and get back to you on the the below request. I appreciate the feedback.

Juan

Sent from my iPhone

> On Aug 9, 2016, at 2:42 PM, Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov> wrote:

>

> The Site Selection and Evaluation Work Plan and all that comes after it (through Site Selection and Evaluation Report) needs to come as early as possible in the schedule, because finding a site (or strong candidate sites) for the sediment processing facility will drive most of the design. E.g., the location of the sediment processing facility will probably influence such fundamental decisions as whether mechanical or hydraulic dredging can be used. So I would not delay it under after the approval of the PDI WP.

>

> During our meeting on Friday (8/5), you mentioned that instead of 30%/60%/95% RD submittals, you would submit some of the items that would have gone into the 30% as early as the RD WP, so that a 50% RD would be feasible. Can you give me a list of the items that you were thinking of submitting with RD WP that would make us more comfortable with the 50%/90% RD concept?

>

> In the meantime, I have played around with the schedule to try to stagger the submittals even more. I have also tasked my contractors (Louis Berger Group or LBG) to come up with a critical path schedule that might inform our thinking on the RD schedule. I expect to be able to send you more information on schedule early next week.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Juan\_Somoano@oxy.com [mailto:Juan\_Somoano@oxy.com]

> Sent: Monday, August 08, 2016 12:25 AM

> To: Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov>

> Subject: Schedule Suggestion

>

> Hi Alice,

>

> Thanks again to you, Nikki, and Michael for meeting last week. Per discussion, one item I might recommend altering on the proposed schedule is to key the Site Selection and Evaluation Work Plan and the Treatability Studies Work Plan off of approval of the PDI Work Plan (versus the RD WP), since review of proposed data collection might provide insight on how to proceed with those plans, and it staggers the initial work a bit more effectively, as we discussed considering.

> If it helps, I would also be happy to populate the draft SOW schedule table accordingly.

>

> I am out tomorrow and traveling the remainder of the week, however please don't hesitate to contact me via cell or email if you have any questions or comments.

>

> Thanks!

>

> Juan

>

>

> Juan P. Somoano, P.G., CAPM

> Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.

> 5 Greenway Plaza, Suite 110

> Houston, TX 77046  
> W: 713-215-7473  
> C: 214-608-0168  
>  
>  
>